THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AUTONOMOUS LEARNING READINESS AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE PERFORMANCE AMONG EFL STUDENTS IN LIBYAN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MALAYSIA

BY

FADHIL TAHAR M MAHMOUD



Project Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfilment as the Requirement for the Masters of Arts in Teaching of English to Speakers of others Languages (TESOL) in the Faculty of Arts, Communication and Education IUKL

2017

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Masters of Arts in Teaching of English to Speakers of others Languages (TESOL).

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AUTONOMOUS LEARNING READINESS
AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE PERFORMANCE AMONG EFL STUDENTS IN
LIBYAN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN MALAYSIA

By

FADHIL TAHAR M MAHMOUD

MAY 2017

Chair: Prof. Dr. Siti Maziha Mustapha

Faculty: Faculty of Arts, Communication and Education

This study examined students' readiness to learning autonomy in English language learning from four major perspectives which are abilities, responsibility, motivation and involvement in independent activities. This study also investigated the learning autonomy and how it connects and influences students' English language performance. Two theories were used; Self-Determination Theory by Deci and Ryan (1985) and Student-Centred Learning Environments Theory by Hannafin& Land (1997). The research design is mixed method. The data were collected from four Libyan Secondary schools in Malaysia.103 students were selected to answer the questionnaire and 10 for interviews. All the data collected were analyzed by using the (SPSS) version 24 and Nvivo pro 10. The findings showed that the Libyan secondary school students were ready to carry out autonomous language learning. Students were willing to learn English autonomously and their motivational level is significantly high. In addition, there was a significant relationship between autonomous learning readiness and students' language performance. Recommendations for EFL teachers were made to enhance students' autonomous learning.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people who helped me in this work. First, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my parents, wife and other family members for their moral support and encouragement.

I am particularly grateful to Dr. Karthiyani Devarajoo, my previous supervisor who started this work with me and Prof Dr. Siti Maziha Mustapha who is my current supervisor. I owe a special thanks to Dr. Karthiyani and Prof Dr. Siti Maziha Mustapha for their encouragement, guidance, support and feedback.

I am deeply grateful to Omer Al-Mukhtar University in Libya and students' affairs in Libyan embassy in Malaysia for giving me the grant to complete my study. Thanks to all Libyan schools principals and staff who helped in distributing and collecting questionnaires. Special thanks to the students who completed the questionnaires and took part in the interviews. This study would have been impossible without their participation.

Last but not least, I appreciate the support of all my colleagues and friends who helped and supported me along the way. Special thanks to all wonderful people in the TESOL program in Department of Education in IUKL.

APPROVAL

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur (IUKL) and has been accepted as partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master in Teaching of English to Speakers of Others Languages (TESOL). The members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follow:

Prof.Dr. Siti Maziha Mustapha

Professor Faculty of Arts, Communication and Education Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur (IUKL) (Supervisor)

Mdm Suraya Amirrudin

Senior Lecturer Faculty of Arts, Communication and Education Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur (IUKL) (Internal Examiner)

Voltastructure University Kuala Lumpur (U.K.L.)

Voltastructure University Kuala Lumpur (U.K.L.)

Voltastructure University Kuala Lumpur (U.K.L.)

Assoc. Prof.Dr. Manal-Mohsen Abood, (PhD)

Director

Centre for Postgraduate Studies

Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur (IUKL)

Date

DECLARATION

I, Fadhil Tahar M Mahmoud hereby declare that the project paper is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged, I also declare that it has not previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur or at any other institution.

FADHIL TAHAR M MAHMOUD

Date: 10-8-2017

TAI	BLE OF	CONTENTS	PAGE
ABS	STRACT		ii
AC]	KNOWL	EDGEMENTS	iii
API	PROVAI		iv
DE	CLARA	TION	v
LIS	T OF TA	ABLES	ix
LIS	T OF FI	GURES	X
LIS	T ABBR	EVIATIONS	xi
CH	APTER		
1	INTR	CODUCTION	
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Background of the Study	1
	1.3	Statement of the Problem	2
	1.4	Purpose of the Study	3
	1.5	Research Objectives	4
	1.6	Research Questions	4
	1.7	Research Hypothesis	4
	1.8	Significance of the Study	4
	1.9	Limitation of the Research	5
	1.10	Definition of Terms	5
		1.10.1 Learner autonomy	6
		1.10.2 Intrinsic Motivation	, 6
		1.10.3 Extrinsic motivation	6
		1.10.4 Readiness for learner autonomy	7
		1.10.5 Foreign language (EFL)	7
		1.10.6 Language performance	8
		1.10.7 Self-directed learning	8

1.11 Summary

2	LITE	LITERATURE REVIEW				
	2.1	Introduction	10			
	2.2	Autonomous Learning	10			
	2.3	What is Learner Autonomy?				
	2.4	Characteristics of Autonomous Learners 13				
	2.5	Why autonomy?				
	2.6	Autonomous Learning in Libyan EFL Context 17				
	2.7	Autonomy and Language Proficiency				
	2.8	Theories related to the study				
		2.8.1 Theoretical Foundations of Learning				
		Environments				
		2.8.2 Self-Determination Theory	19			
	2.9	Theoretical Framework	20			
	2.10	Summary	22			
			23			
3	METHODOLOGY					
	3.1	Introduction				
	3.2	Research Design	24			
	3.3	Convergent Parallel Design				
	3.4	Location of the study				
	3.5	Population				
	3.6	Sample and Sampling Procedure				
	3.7	Instruments	27			
		3.7.1 The Description of the Questionnaire	27			
		3.7.2 Student Interview	30			
		3.7.3 EFL Performance Scores	30			
	3.8	Pilot Study	30			
		3.8.1 Pilot study procedures	31			
	3.9	Data Collection	34			
	3.10	Data Analysis				
	3.11	Research Framework	35			
	3.12	Summary	36			

			į			
		۱	,	١		
1			,	,	,	,
- î			١	,	١	i
- ř	ì	Į	į	ì	i	i

4	ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS					
	4.1	Overv	riew	37		
	4.2	Analy	sis of the Demographic Questionnaire	37		
		4.2.1	Participants' Age and Gender Distribution	37		
		4.2.2	EFL Performance Scores	40		
	4.3	Resea	rch Questions	41		
		4.3.1	Research Question One	41		
		4.3.2	Research Question Two	47		
		4.3.3	Research Question Three	50		
	4.4	Summ	nary	51		
5	DISCUSSION					
	5.1 Introduction					
	5.2	Discu	ssion	52		
		5.2.1	Research Question One	53		
		5.2.2	Research Question Two	55		
		5.2.3	Research Question Three	55		
	5.3	Impli	56			
		5.3.1	Pedagogical Implications	56		
		5.3.2	Theoretical Implications	58		
	5.4	Recor	nmendations for Further Research	59		
	5.5	Concl	usion	59		
	REFERENCES					
	APP	ENDIX	A	77		
	APP	ENDIX	В	82		

	LIST OF TABLES	PAGES
Table 2.1	Characteristics of dependent and independent learners.	15
Table 3.1	Details of the sample:	26
	*	
Table 3.2	Reliability Statistics For Section (1) Responsibilities	31
Table 3.3	Reliability Statistics for Section (2) Abilities	32
Table 3.4	Reliability Statistics for 4 Activities outside class	32
Table 3.5	Reliability Statistics	33
Table 3.6	Reliability Statistics for Section (4) Activities Inside	33
	Class	
Table 3.7	Item-Total Statistics for Section (4) Activities Inside	33
	Class	
Table 3.8	Reliability Statistics	34
Table 4.1	Participants' Age and Gender Distribution	38
Table 4.2	The population	39
Table 4.3	Students Q1(a) Themes Frequency (s Students readiness	42
	for autonomous English language learning)	
Table 4.4	Students Q1(b) Themes Frequency (Students readiness for	43
	autonomous English language learning)	
Table 4.5	Students Q1(c) Themes Frequency (Students readiness for	45
	autonomous English language learning).	
Table 4.6	Students Q2(a) Themes Frequency (perceptions of	48
	students toward autonomous learning)	
Table 4.7	Students Q2(b) Themes Frequency (perceptions of	49
	students toward autonomous learning)	
Table 4.8	Coefficients a	51

	LIST OF FIGURES	PAGES
Figure 2.1	Theoretical Framework	22
Figure 3.1	Convergent Parallel design	25
Figure 3.2	Research Framework	35
Figure 4.1	Secondary School Grades	40
Figure 4.2	Last Semester EFL Performance Grades	41
Figure 4.3	Students Readiness Autonomy To Learn English (Q1)	43
Figure 4.4	Students Readiness Autonomy To Learn English (Q1)	45
Figure 4.5	Students Readiness To Learn English Autonomy (Q1)	47
Figure 4.6	Perceptions Of Students Toward Autonomous Learning	48
	(Q2)	
Figure 4.7	Perceptions Of Students Toward Autonomous Learning	50
	(Q2)	

The state of the s

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The current chapter presents background of the study with specific focus on significance of learner autonomy in English language learning and teaching. The chapter also discusses problem statement and the objectives of the study followed by research questions, objectives and hypothesis. The chapter also outlined prominence of the topic along with the limitations and scope for further study. The chapter ends with definitions of the core concepts.

1.2 Background of the Study

For many decades, scholars have regarded learner autonomy as the major area of focus for empirical studies, particularly in the domain of English as a foreign language (Borg & Al-Busaidi, 2012). A lot of studies can be traced, outlining the importance of learner autonomy and its implications. Language instructors have therefore started giving much importance to students in order to ensure that their needs are met effectively. This collectively has given rise to the idea of learner autonomy that asserts language learning as a cooperative process between teachers and learners rather than a set of guidelines, transferred from teachers to learners. Henri Holec (1979) is considered as the "father" of learner autonomy. He gave the idea of learner autonomy for the first time in the domain of foreign language.

In principle, it was formulated for Council of Europe under the title of Autonomy in foreign language learning. The idea was pushed for encouraging learners to have more autonomy and responsibility towards their learning. The concept expected and motivated learners to express ownership by being given the autonomy and responsibility of their work (Holec, 1979). According to Holec (1981), learners may be provided with full autonomy and responsibility when it comes to making decisions pertaining to their learning and learning styles. Many studies conducted in learning autonomy such as Fuchs et al.Nematipour (2012). AndCotterall& Murray

REFERENCES

- Abukhattala, I. (2016). The Use of Technology in Language Classrooms in Libya. International Journal of Social Science and Humanity, 6(4), 262.
- Adamson, C. (1997). Suggestopedia as NLP. Language Teacher-Kyoto-Jalt-, 21, 17-20.
- Aldabbus, S. (2008). An investigation into the impact of language games on classroom interaction and pupil learning in Libyan EFL primary classrooms, Newcastle University.
- Allford, D., &Pachler, N. (2007). Language, autonomy and the new learning environments: Peter Lang.
- Al-Saadi, H. M. (2011). From Spoon Feeding to Self-Feeding: Helping Learners Take Control of Their Own Learning. Arab World English Journal, 2(3), 95-114.
- Altaieb, S. R. (2013). Teachers' Perception of the English language Curriculum in Libyan Public Schools: An investigation and assessment of implementation process of English curriculum in Libyan public high schools, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER
- Atkins, M., &Blissett, G. (1992). Interactive video and cognitive problem-solving skills. Educational Technology, 32(1), 44-50.
- Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1989). On the use of structural equation models in experimental designs. Journal of Marketing Research, 271-284.
- Bahrani, T., & Sim, T. S. (2011). The Role of Audio visual Mass Media News in Language Learning. English Language Teaching, 4(2), 260-266.

- Baker, L. (2001). Understanding research methods: An overview of the essentials: Univ Chicago Press 1427 E 60th St, Chicago, II 60637-2954 USA.
- Baker, S. K., Gersten, R., Haager, D., & Dingle, M. (2006). Teaching practice and the reading growth of first-grade english learners: Validation of an observation instrument. The Elementary School Journal, 107(2), 199–220.
- Barfield, A., & Brown, S. (2007). Reconstructing autonomy in language education: Inquiry and innovation: Springer.
- Barnett, W. S. (1993). Benefit-cost analysis of preschool education: findings from a 25-year follow-up. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 63(4), 500.
- Benson, P. (1997). The philosophy and politics of learner autonomy. Autonomy and independence in language learning, 7, 18-34.
- BENSON, P. (2001). Teaching and Researching Autonomy in Language Learning. Contents, purpose, origin, reception and impact. Language Teaching, 39(3), 167-190.
- Benson, P. (2007). Autonomy in language teaching and learning. Language Teaching, 40(01), 21-40.
- Benson, P. (2013). Learner autonomy. TESOL Quarterly, 47(4), 839-843.
- Benson, P. (2013). Teaching and researching: Autonomy in language learning: Routledge.
- Benson, P., &Lor, W. (1998). Making Sense of Autonomous Language Learning. English Centre Monograph: ERIC Clearinghouse.
- Benson, P., &Voller, P. (2014). Autonomy and independence in language learning: Routledge.

- Bhattacherjee, A. (2012). Social science research: principles, methods, and practices, University of South Florida.
- Bolhuis, S. (2003). Towards process-oriented teaching for self-directed lifelong learning: a multidimensional perspective. Learning and instruction, 13(3), 327-347.
- Borg, S., & Al-Busaidi, S. (2012). Learner autonomy: English language teachers' beliefs and practices. ELT Journal, 12(7), 1-45.
- Boud, D. (2012). Developing student autonomy in learning: Routledge.
- Boscolo, P., &Gelati, C. (2013). Best practices in promoting motivation for writing. In S. Graham, C. A. MacArthur, & J. Fitzgerald (Eds.), Best practices in writing instruction (pp. 284–308) (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Brecht, R., Davidson, D., & Ginsberg, R. B. (1995). Predictors of foreign language gain during study abroad. Second language acquisition in a study abroad context, 37-66.
- Breen, M. P., & Mann, S. (1997). Shooting arrows at the sun: Perspectives on a pedagogy for autonomy. Autonomy and independence in language learning, 132-149.
- Broady, E., & Kenning, M. (1996). Learner autonomy: an introduction to the issues. Promoting learner autonomy in university language teaching, 1021.
- Brown, H. D. (1994). Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Second Language Pedagogy: Prentice Hall Regents.
- Brown, L. V. (2007). Psychology of motivation: Nova Publishers.

- Chan, M. (2015). Language Learner Autonomy and Learning Contract: A Case Study of Language Majors of a University in Hong Kong. Open Journal of Modern Linguistics, 5(02), 147.
- Chan, V. (2001). Readiness for learner autonomy: What do our learners tell us? Teaching in higher education, 6(4), 505-518.
- Chan, V., Spratt, M., & Humphreys, G. (2002). Autonomous language learning: Hong Kong tertiary students' attitudes and behaviours. Evaluation & Research in Education, 16(1), 1-18.
- Chen, K.-C., & Jang, S.-J. (2010). Motivation in online learning: Testing a model of self-determination theory. Computers in Human Behavior, 26(4), 741-752.
- Chung, I.-F. (2013). Are learners becoming more autonomous? The role of self-access center in EFL college students' English learning in Taiwan. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 22(4), 701-708.
- Comanaru, R., & Noels, K. A. (2009). Self-determination, motivation, and the learning of Chinese as a heritage language. Canadian Modern Language Review, 66(1), 131-158.
- Coon, D., &Mitterer, J. O. (2012). Introduction to Psychology: Gateways to Mind and Behaviour: with Concept Maps and Reviews: Cengage Learning.
- Cornelius-White, J. (2007). Learner-centered teacher-student relationships are effective: A meta-analysis. Review of Educational Research, 77, 113–143.
- Cotterall, S. (1998). Roles in autonomous language learning. Australian Review of Applied Linguistics, 21, 61-78.
- Cotterall, S., & Murray, G. (2009). Enhancing metacognitive knowledge: Structure, affordances and self. System, 37(1), 34-45.

- Cravero, G., Guarda, F., Dotta, U., &Guglielmino, R. (1977). La scrapie in pecore di razzabiellese. Prima segnalazione in Italia. La ClinicaVeterinaria, 100(1), 1-14.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches: Sage publications.
- Creswell, J. W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2007). Designing and conducting mixed methods research." AUSTRALIAN AND NEW ZEALAND JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH 31(4).
- Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Nakamura, J. (1989). The dynamics of intrinsic motivation: A study of adolescents. Research on motivation in education, 3, 45-71.
- Cundick, D. K. (2007). "Relationship between reported out-of-class English use and proficiency gains in English." Applied Language Learning 22: 21-45.
- Dam, L. (2011). Developing learner autonomy with school kids: Principles, practices, results. Edited by David Gardner.
- Danner, F. W., &Lonky, E. (1981). A cognitive-developmental approach to the effects of rewards on intrinsic motivation. Child Development, 1043-1052.
- De Jong, T., & Van Joolingen, W. R. (1998). Scientific discovery learning with computer simulations of conceptual domains. Review of educational research, 68(2), 179-201.
- Deci, E. L., & Moller, A. C. (2005). The Concept of Competence: A Starting Place for Understanding Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determined Extrinsic Motivation.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). The general causality orientations scale: Self-determination in personality. Journal of research in personality, 19(2), 109-134.

- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2008). Self-determination theory: A macrotheory of human motivation, development, and health. Canadian psychology/Psychologiecanadienne, 49(3), 182.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2010). Self-determination: Wiley Online Library.
- Díaz, L. E. H. (2016). Exploring the students' perceptions and language learning experiences of a self-access centre. InnovaciónEducativa, 16(71), 39-60.
- Dickinson, L. (1995). Autonomy and motivation a literature review. System, 23(2), 165-174.
- Douglass, C., & Morris, S. R. (2014). Student perspectives on self-directed learning. Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 14(1), 13-25.
- Duke, N. K., Purcell-Gates, V., Hall, L. A., & Tower, C. (2006). Authentic literacy activities for developing comprehension and writing. The Reading Teacher, 60, 344–355.
- Elmahjoub, A. (2014). An Ethnographic Investigation into Teachers' and Learners' Perceptions and Practices in Relation to Learner Autonomy in a Secondary School in Libya. University of Sheffield.
- Emhamed, E. D. H., & Krishnan, K. S. D. (2011). Investigating Libyan Teachers' Attitude towards Integrating Technology in Teaching English in Sebha Secondary Schools. Academic Research International, 1(3), 182.
- Farahani, M. (2013). A Study on Teachers' and Learners' Readiness for Autonomous Learning of English as a Foreign. Kharazmi University.
- Fathali, S., & Okada, T. (2016). On the importance of out-of-class language learning environments: A case of a web-based e-portfolio system enhancing reading

- proficiency. International Journal on Studies in English Language and Literature, 4(8), 77-85.
- Fuchs, C., Hauck, M., & Müller-Hartmann, A. (2012). Promoting learner autonomy through multiliteracy skills development in cross-institutional exchanges. Language Learning & Technology, 16(3), 82-102.
- Gardner, D., & Miller, L. (1996). Tasks for Independent Language Learning: ERIC.
- Ginsberg, R. J., Rubinstein, L. V., & Group, L. C. S. (1995). Randomized trial of lobectomy versus limited resection for T1 N0 non-small cell lung cancer. The Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 60(3), 615-623.
- Graddol, D. (2006). English next (Vol. 62): British Council London.
- Guay, F., Lessard, V., & Dubois, P. (2016). How can we create better learning contexts for children? Promoting students' autonomous motivation as a way to foster enhanced educational outcomes Building autonomous learners (pp. 83-106). Springer.
- Guglielmino, P. J. (2011). An Exploration of Cultural Dimensions and Economic Indicators As Predictors of Self-Directed Learning Readiness Paul J. Guglielmino and Lucy M. Guglielmino. International Journal of Self-Directed Learning, 29.
- Guthrie, J. T., Wigfield, A., & Von Secker, C. (2000). Effects of integrated instruction on motivation and strategy use in reading. Journal of Educational Psychology, 92, 331–341.
- Hair Jr, J. F. (2006). Black, WC/Babin, BJ/Anderson, RE &Tatham, RL (2006): Multivariate Data Analysis. Auflage, Upper Saddle River.

- Hajar, A. (2016). Motivated by visions: a tale of a rural learner of English. The Language Learning Journal, 1-17.
- Hall-Johnsen, K. J. (1985). The relationship between readiness for, and involvement in self-directed learning, doctoral dissertation, Iowa State University.
- Hambleton, R. K. (2001). Setting performance standards on educational assessments and criteria for evaluating the process. Setting performance standards: Concepts, methods, and perspectives, 89-116.
- Hamilton, M. (2013). Autonomy and foreign language learning in a virtual learning environment: A&C Black.
- Hannafin, M., Land, S., & Oliver, K. (1999). Open learning environments: Foundations, methods, and models. Instructional-design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory, 2, 115-140.
- Hannafin, M. J., &Hannafin, K. M. (2010). Cognition and student-centered, web-based learning: Issues and implications for research and theory Learning and instruction in the digital age (pp. 11-23): Springer.
- Hannafin, M. J., Hannafin, K. M., Land, S. M., & Oliver, K. (1997). Grounded practice and the design of constructivist learning environments. Educational Technology Research and Development, 45(3), 101-117.
- Hannafin, M. J., & Land, S. M. (1997). The foundations and assumptions of technology-enhanced student-centered learning environments. Instructional science, 25(3), 167-202.
- Hannan, L., Chatterjee, H., &Duhs, R. (2013). Object Based Learning: A Powerful Pedagogy for Higher Education: Ashgate.

- Hattie, J. (2009). Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. NY: Routledge.
- Harter, S. (1978). Effectance motivation reconsidered. Toward a developmental model. Human development, 21(1), 34-64.
- Hill, J. R., & Hannafin, M. J. (1997). Cognitive strategies and learning from the World Wide Web. Educational Technology Research and Development, 45(4), 37-64.
- Hill, M. C. (1998). Methods and guidelines for effective model calibration: US Geological Survey Denver, CO, USA.
- Hobbs, M., &Dofs, K. (2015). Essential advising to underpin effective language learning and teaching. " Studies in Self-Access Learning Journal 6(1).
- Holec, H. (1979). Autonomy and Foreign Language Learning: ERIC.
- Holec, H. (1996). Self-directed learning: an alternative form of training. Language Teaching, 29(02), 89-93.
- Holec, H. A. (1981). Foreign Language Learning. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Hughes, L. S., Krug, N. P., &Vye, S. L. (2011). Advising practices: A survey of self-access learner motivations and preferences. Reading.
- Ismail, N., Singh, D. S. R., & Abu, R. (2013). Fostering learner autonomy and academic writing interest via the use of structured e-forum activities among ESL students. Proceedings of EDULEARN 13 Proceedings, 4622-4626.
- Jha, S. K. (2015). Exploring desirable characteristics for Libyan ELT practitioners. Journal of English Language and Literature (JOELL), 2(1), 78-87.

- Jonassen, D., & Land, S. (2012). Theoretical foundations of learning environments: Routledge.
- Khalifa, S. H., &Shabdin, A. A. (2016). Autonomy in Vocabulary Learning: Vocabulary Learning Strategies Teaching Programme for EFL Libyan Learners. Arab World English Journal, 7(1).
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. Educpsychol meas.
- Lai, C., &Gu, M. (2011). Self-regulated out-of-class language learning with technology. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 24(4), 317-335.
- Lai, C., Wang, Q., & Lei, J. (2012). What factors predict undergraduate students' use of technology for learning? A case from Hong Kong. Computers & Education, 59(2), 569-579.
- Lai, H.-J. (2011). The Influence of Adult Learners' Self-Directed Learning Readiness and Network Literacy on Online Learning Effectiveness: A Study of Civil Servants in Taiwan. Educational Technology & Society, 14(2), 98-106.
- Lamb, T., &Reinders, H. (2008). Learner and Teacher Autonomy: Concepts, realities, and response (Vol. 1): John Benjamins Publishing.
- Lanvers, U. (2012). 'The Danish speak so many languages it's really embarrassing'.

 The impact of L1 English on adult language students' motivation. Innovation in
 Language Learning and Teaching, 6(2), 157-175.
- Lee, K., Tsai, P. S., Chai, C. S., &Koh, J. H. L. (2014). Students' perceptions of self-directed learning and collaborative learning with and without technology. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 30(5), 425-437.

- Lee, L. (2011). Blogging: Promoting learner autonomy and intercultural competence through study abroad. Language Learning & Technology, 15(3), 87-109.
- Lee, Y., Lee, J., & Hwang, Y. (2015). Relating motivation to information and communication technology acceptance: Self-determination theory perspective. Computers in Human Behavior, 51, 418-428.
- Little, D. (1990). Autonomy in language learning. Teaching modern languages, 81-87.
- Little, D. (1995). Learning as dialogue: The dependence of learner autonomy on teacher autonomy. System, 23(2), 175-181.
- Little, D. (2007). Language learner autonomy: Some fundamental considerations revisited. International Journal of Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 1(1), 14-29.
- Little, D., & Dam, L. (1998). Learner autonomy: What and why? Language Teacher-Kyoto-Jalt-, 22, 7-8.
- Littlewood, W. (1996). "Autonomy": An anatomy and a framework. System, 24(4), 427-435.
- Liu, L. (2012). An international graduate student's ESL learning experience beyond the classroom. TESL Canada Journal, 29(1), 77-92.
- Loan, P. T., & Tin, D. T. (2016). The Effect of Speaking E-Portfolios on Learner Autonomy for Non-English Major Students at PetroVietnam University. Hirao School of Management review, 6, 106-169.
- Macaro, E. (1997). Target language, collaborative learning and autonomy (Vol. 5): Multilingual matters.
- Malhotra, N., Hall, J., Shaw, M., & Oppenheim, P. (2006). Marketing research (3rd ed.). Australia: Pearson Prentice Hall.

- Martinsen, R. A. (2010). Short-term study abroad: Predicting changes in oral skills. Foreign Language Annals, 43(3), 504-530.
- McIntosh, C. N., & Noels, K. A. (2004). Self-determined motivation for language learning: The role of need for cognition and language learning strategies. ZeitschriftfürInterkulturellenFremdsprachenunterricht, 9(2), 28.
- Merriam, S. B., &Heuer, B. (1996). Meaning-making, adult learning and development: a model with implications for practice. International Journal of Lifelong Education, 15(4), 243-255.
- Mobarhan, R., Majidi, M., & Abdul Rahman, A. (2014). Motivation in electronic portfolio usage for higher education institutions. Information systems and technology for organizational agility, intelligence, and resilience, 224-243.
- Murray, G. (2014). Exploring the social dimensions of autonomy in language learning Social dimensions of autonomy in language learning (pp. 3-11): Springer.
- Myers, D. G. (2010). Exploring Psychology, Eighth Edition, In Modules: Worth Publishers.
- Mynard, J. a. S., R. (2002). Independent learning in your classroom. TESOL Arabia., IL Sig.
- Nematipour, M. (2012). A study of Iranian EFL learners' autonomy level and its relationship with learning style. English Linguistics Research, 1(1), p126.
- Noels, K. A., Clément, R., & Pelletier, L. G. (1999). Perceptions of teachers' communicative style and students' intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. The Modern Language Journal, 83(1), 23-34.

- Noels, K. A., Pelletier, L. G., Clément, R., & Vallerand, R. J. (2000). Why are you learning a second language? Motivational orientations and self-determination theory. Language learning, 50(1), 57-85.
- Ohno, M., Nakamura, A., Sagara, Y., & Sakai, S. (2008). A Study on the relationship between learner autonomy and academic grades, The Journal of Chiba University of Commerce, 45(4), 1-23.
- Orafi, S. M. S., & Borg, S. (2009). Intentions and realities in implementing communicative curriculum reform. System, 37(2), 243-253.
- Orawiwatnakul, W., &Wichadee, S. (2017). An Investigation of Undergraduate Students' Beliefs about Autonomous Language Learning. International Journal of Instruction, 10(1).
- Orawiwatnakul, W., &Wichadee, S. (2017). An Investigation of Undergraduate Students' Beliefs about Autonomous Language Learning. International Journal of Instruction, 10(1).
- Oxford, R. L. (1990). Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know. New York: Newbury House.
- Patten, M. L. (2016). Understanding research methods: An overview of the essentials: Routledge.
- Pemberton, R., Toogood, S., & Barfield, A. (2009). Maintaining control: Autonomy and language learning (Vol. 1): Hong Kong University Press.
- Porta, M. (2014). A dictionary of epidemiology: Oxford university press.
- Reeve, J. (2002). Self-determination theory applied to educational setting. In E. L. Deci, & R. M. Ryan (Eds.), Handbook of self-determination research (pp. 183–204). Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.

- Reinders, H. (2014). Personal Learning Environments for Supporting Out-of-Class Language Learning. Paper presented at the English Teaching Forum.
- Reinders, H., & White, C. (2011). Learner autonomy and new learning environments." About Language Learning & Technology 15(3): 1.
- Richards, J. C. (2015). The changing face of language learning: Learning beyond the classroom. RELC Journal, 46(1), 5-22.
- Ridley, J., Ushioda, E., & Little, D. (2003). Learner autonomy in the foreign language classroom: teacher, learner, curriculum and assessment: Authentik.
- Rienties, B., Giesbers, B., Tempelaar, D., Lygo-Baker, S., Segers, M., &Gijselaers, W. (2012). The role of scaffolding and motivation in CSCL. Computers & Education, 59(3), 893-906.
- Roca, J. C., &Gagné, M. (2008). Understanding e-learning continuance intention in the workplace: A self-determination theory perspective. Computers in Human Behavior, 24(4), 1585-1604.
- Rogelberg, S. G., & Luong, A. (1998). Nonresponse to mailed surveys: A review and guide. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 7(2), 60-65.
- Rogers, C. R. (2015). Rogers, Kohut, and Erickson: A Personal Perspective on. Paper presented at the Evolution Of Psychotherapy: The 1st Conference.
- Rovai, A. P., Baker, J. D., &Ponton, M. K. (2013). Social science research design and statistics: A practitioner's guide to research methods and IBM SPSS: Watertree Press LLC.
- Rungwaraphong, P. (2012). Student readiness for learner autonomy: Case study at a university in Thailand. Asian Journal on Education and Learning, 3(2), 28-40.

- Ryan, R. M., &Deci, E. L. (2009). Promoting self-determined school engagement. In K. R. Wentzel, & A. Wigfield (Eds.), Handbook of motivation at school (pp. 171 195). New York: Routledge.
- Ryan, R. M., Connell, J. P., &Deci, E. L. (1985). A motivational analysis of self-determination and self-regulation in education. Research on motivation in education: The classroom milieu, 2, 13-51.
- Ryan, R. M., &Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. Contemporary educational psychology, 25(1), 54-67.
- Sakai, S., & Takagi, A. (2009). Relationship between learner autonomy and English language proficiency of Japanese learners. The Journal of Asia TEFL, 6(3), 297-325.
- Seberechts, K. (2012). The 'Successful Autonomous Language Learner. The Effect of Vocabulary Notebooks on Learners' Vocabulary Acquisition and Autonomy.

 Master's Thesis Linguistics and Literature, Master English
- Seidlhofer, B. (2005). English as a lingua franca. ELT Journal, 59(4), 339.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. Singapore: John Wiley & Sons.
- Seliger, H. W. (1977). Does Practice Make Perfect? A Study of Interaction Patterns and L2 Competence1. Language learning, 27(2), 263-278.
- SHIHIBA, S., & Embark, S. (2011). An investigation of Libyan EFL teachers' conceptions of the communicative learner-centred approach in relation to their implementation of an English language curriculum innovation in secondary schools. Durham University.